# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Elkie Nathan,	
Plaintiff,	<b>C.A. No.:</b> 1:22-cv-471

-against-

**DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** 

Trans Union, LLC, Equifax Information Services, LLC, Newrez LLC d/b/a Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing,

Defendant(s). -----x

# **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff Elkie Nathan ("Plaintiff"), by and through her attorneys, and as for her Complaint against Defendant Trans Union, LLC ("Trans Union"), Defendant Equifax Information Services, LLC ("Equifax") and Defendant Newrez LLC d/b/a Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing ("Shellpoint") respectfully sets forth, complains, and alleges, upon information and belief, the following:

# **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367, as well as 15 U.S.C. § 1681p *et seq*.
- 2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2), being that the acts and transactions occurred here, Plaintiff resides here, and Defendant transacts business here.
- 3. Plaintiff brings this action for damages arising from the Defendant's violations of 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.*, commonly known as the Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA").

## **PARTIES**

- 4. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of New York, County of Kings.
- 5.At all times material hereto, Plaintiff was a "consumer" as said term is defined under 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(c).
- 6.Defendant Trans Union, LLC is a consumer reporting agency as defined by 15 U.S.C.
  § 1681a(f) and conducts substantial and regular business activities in this judicial district.
  Defendant Trans Union is a Delaware corporation registered to do business in the State of
  New York, and may be served with process upon the Prentice-Hall Corporation System, its
  registered agent for service of process at 80 State Street, Albany, NY 12207.
- 7.At all times material hereto, Trans Union is a consumer reporting agency regularly engaged in the business of assembling, evaluating and disbursing information concerning consumers for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports, as said term is defined under 15 U.S.C. § 1681(d) to third parties.
- 8.At all times material hereto, Trans Union disbursed such consumer reports to third parties under a contract for monetary compensation.
- 9.Defendant Equifax Information Services, LLC is a consumer reporting agency as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(f) and conducts substantial and regular business activities in this judicial district. Defendant Equifax is a Georgia corporation registered to do business in the State of New York, and may be served with process upon the Corporation Service Company, its registered agent for service of process at 80 State Street, Albany, NY 12207.
- 10.At all times material here to Equifax is a consumer reporting agency regularly engaged in the business of assembling, evaluating and disbursing information concerning consumers

- for the purpose of furnishing consumer reports, as said term is defined under 15 U.S.C. § 1681(d) to third parties.
- 11.At all times material hereto, Equifax disbursed such consumer reports to third parties under a contract for monetary compensation.
- 12.Defendant Newrez LLC d/b/a Shellpoint Mortgage Servicing is a person who furnishes information to consumer reporting agencies under 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2, and may be served with process upon the Corporation Service Company, its registered agent for service of process at 80 State Street, Albany, NY 12207.

# **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

13.Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.

#### Shellpoint Dispute and Violation

- 14.On information and belief, on a date better known to Defendants Trans Union and Equifax, hereinafter ("the Bureaus"), the Bureaus prepared and issued credit reports concerning the Plaintiff that included inaccurate and misleading information relating to her Shellpoint account 578507\*\*\*\*.
- 15. The inaccurate information furnished by Defendant Shellpoint and published by the Bureaus is inaccurate since the accounts contain an incorrect current payment status of "120 days past due" on the Trans Union report, and "Over 120 days past due" on the Equifax report.
- 16. Upon information and belief, the Plaintiff's account was paid and closed.

- 17.Despite this, and the fact that the Plaintiff no longer had any obligation to Shellpoint, the Bureaus continue to report the Plaintiff's current payment status as "past due."
- 18. The pay status is of significance. This field is specifically designed to be understood as the current status of the account. As such, credit scoring algorithms take this data field into account when generating a credit score, and when it is showing this negative status, it would cause a lower credit score to be generated than a closed status.
- 19.In almost all instances' creditors make their credit lending decisions based on these automatically generated credit scores.
- 20. The Bureaus have been reporting this inaccurate information through the issuance of false and inaccurate credit information and consumer reports that it has disseminated to various persons and credit grantors, both known and unknown.
- 21.Plaintiff notified the Bureaus that she disputed the accuracy of the information the Bureaus were reporting on or around May 19, 2021.
- 22.It is believed and therefore averred that the Bureaus notified Defendant Shellpoint of the Plaintiff's dispute.
- 23.Upon receipt of the disputes of the accounts from the Plaintiff by the Bureaus, Shellpoint failed to conduct a reasonable investigation and continued to report false and inaccurate adverse information on the consumer report of the Plaintiff with respect to the disputed account.
- 24.Had Shellpoint done a reasonable investigation of the Plaintiff's dispute, it would have been revealed to Shellpoint that the current payment status for this account was improperly listed as "past due."

- 25.Despite the dispute by the Plaintiff that the information on her consumer report was inaccurate with respect to the disputed account, the Bureaus did not timely evaluate or consider any of the information, claims, or evidence of the Plaintiff and did not timely make an attempt to substantially reasonably verify that the derogatory information concerning the disputed account was inaccurate.
- 26. The Bureaus violated 15 U.S. Code § 1681i (a)(1)(A) by failing to conduct a reasonable investigation since it failed to delete or correct the disputed trade line within 30 days of receiving Plaintiff's dispute letter.
- 27.Had the Bureaus done a reasonable investigation of the Plaintiff's dispute, it would have been revealed to the Bureaus that the current payment status was improperly listed.
- 28. Notwithstanding Plaintiff's efforts, Defendants continued to publish and disseminate such inaccurate information to other third parties, persons, entities and credit grantors, as evidenced by the inquiries on the Plaintiff's credit report in the form of hard and soft pulls.
- 29.As a result of Defendant's failure to comply with the FCRA, the Plaintiff suffered concrete harm in the form of loss of credit, loss of ability to purchase and benefit from credit, a chilling effect on applications for future credit, and the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation and embarrassment of credit denial.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (Willful Violation of the FCRA as to Trans Union)

- 30.Plaintiff incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 31. This is an action for willful violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.

- 32. Trans Union violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681(e) by failing to establish or to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of the credit report and credit files that Trans Union maintained concerning the Plaintiff.
- 33. Trans Union has willfully and recklessly failed to comply with the Act. The failure of Trans
  Union to comply with the Act include but are not necessarily limited to the following:
  - a) The failure to follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the information reported;
  - b) The failure to correct erroneous personal information regarding the Plaintiff after a reasonable request by the Plaintiff;
  - c) The failure to remove and/or correct the inaccuracy and derogatory credit information after a reasonable request by the Plaintiff;
  - d) The failure to promptly and adequately investigate information which Defendant Trans Union had notice was inaccurate;
  - e) The continual placement of inaccurate information into the credit report of the Plaintiff after being advised by the Plaintiff that the information was inaccurate;
  - f) The failure to continuously note in the credit report that the Plaintiff disputed the accuracy of the information;
  - g) The failure to promptly delete information that was found to be inaccurate, or could not be verified, or that the source of information had advised Trans Union to delete;
  - h) The failure to take adequate steps to verify information Trans Union had reason to believe was inaccurate before including it in the credit report of the consumer.
- 34. As a result of the conduct, action and inaction of Trans Union, the Plaintiff suffered damage by loss of credit, loss of ability to purchase and benefit from credit, a chilling effect on future

- applications for credit, and the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation and embarrassment of credit denial.
- 35. The conduct, action and inaction of Trans Union was willful rendering Trans Union liable for actual, statutory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a Judge and/or Jury pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).
- 36. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney's fees from Trans Union in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681 (n).
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Elkie Nathan, an individual, demands judgement in her favor against Defendant, Trans Union, for damages together with attorney's fees and Court costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.

# **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

## (Negligent Violation of the FCRA as to Trans Union)

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 38. This is an action for negligent violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.
- 39.Trans Union violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a) by failing to delete inaccurate information from the credit file of the Plaintiff after receiving actual notice of such inaccuracies and conducting reinvestigation and by failing to maintain reasonable procedures with which to verify the disputed information in the credit file of the Plaintiff.
- 40. Trans Union has negligently failed to comply with the Act. The failure of Trans Union to comply with the Act include but are not necessarily limited to the following:

- a) The failure to follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the information reported;
- b) The failure to correct erroneous personal information regarding the Plaintiff after a reasonable request by the Plaintiff;
- c) The failure to remove and/or correct the inaccuracy and derogatory credit information after a reasonable request by the Plaintiff;
- d) The failure to promptly and adequately investigate information which Defendant Trans Union had notice was inaccurate;
- e) The continual placement of inaccurate information into the credit report of the Plaintiff after being advised by the Plaintiff that the information was inaccurate;
- f) The failure to continuously note in the credit report that the Plaintiff disputed the accuracy of the information;
- g) The failure to promptly delete information that was found to be inaccurate, or could not be verified, or that the source of information had advised Trans Union to delete;
- h) The failure to take adequate steps to verify information Trans Union had reason to believe was inaccurate before including it in the credit report of the consumer.
- 41. As a result of the conduct, action and inaction of Trans Union, the Plaintiff suffered damage by loss of credit, loss of ability to purchase and benefit from credit, a chilling effect on future applications for credit, and the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation and embarrassment of credit denial.
- 42. The conduct, action and inaction of Trans Union was negligent, entitling the Plaintiff to damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

- 43. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney's fees from Trans Union in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and 1681o.
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Elkie Nathan, an individual, demands judgement in her favor against Defendant, Trans Union, for damages together with attorney's fees and Court costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

# **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

# (Willful Violation of the FCRA as to Equifax)

- 44.Plaintiff incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this complaint as though fully stated herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 45. This is an action for willful violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.
- 46.Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681(e) by failing to establish or to follow reasonable procedures to assure maximum possible accuracy in the preparation of the credit report and credit files that Equifax maintained concerning the Plaintiff.
- 47. Equifax has willfully and recklessly failed to comply with the Act. The failure of Equifax to comply with the Act include but are not necessarily limited to the following:
  - a) The failure to follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the information reported;
  - b) The failure to correct erroneous personal information regarding the Plaintiff after a reasonable request by the Plaintiff;
  - c) The failure to remove and/or correct the inaccuracy and derogatory credit information after a reasonable request by the Plaintiff;
  - d) The failure to promptly and adequately investigate information which Defendant Equifax had notice was inaccurate;

- e) The continual placement of inaccurate information into the credit report of the Plaintiff after being advised by the Plaintiff that the information was inaccurate;
- f) The failure to continuously note in the credit report that the Plaintiff disputed the accuracy of the information;
- g) The failure to promptly delete information that was found to be inaccurate, or could not be verified, or that the source of information had advised Equifax to delete;
- h) The failure to take adequate steps to verify information Equifax had reason to believe was inaccurate before including it in the credit report of the consumer.
- 48.As a result of the conduct, action and inaction of Equifax, the Plaintiff suffered damage by loss of credit, loss of ability to purchase and benefit from credit, a chilling effect on future applications for credit, and the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation and embarrassment of credit denial.
- 49. The conduct, action and inaction of Equifax was willful rendering Equifax liable for actual, statutory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a Judge and/or Jury pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681(n).
- 50. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney's fees from Equifax in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681 (n).
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Elkie Nathan, an individual, demands judgement in her favor against Defendant, Equifax, for damages together with attorney's fees and Court costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.

#### **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

(Negligent Violation of the FCRA as to Equifax)

- 51.Plaintiff incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 52. This is an action for negligent violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.
- 53. Equifax violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a) by failing to delete inaccurate information from the credit file of the Plaintiff after receiving actual notice of such inaccuracies and conducting reinvestigation and by failing to maintain reasonable procedures with which to verify the disputed information in the credit file of the Plaintiff.
- 54. Equifax has negligently failed to comply with the Act. The failure of Equifax to comply with the Act include but are not necessarily limited to the following:
  - a) The failure to follow reasonable procedures to assure the maximum possible accuracy of the information reported;
  - b) The failure to correct erroneous personal information regarding the Plaintiff after a reasonable request by the Plaintiff;
  - c) The failure to remove and/or correct the inaccuracy and derogatory credit information after a reasonable request by the Plaintiff;
  - d) The failure to promptly and adequately investigate information which Defendant Equifax had notice was inaccurate;
  - e) The continual placement of inaccurate information into the credit report of the Plaintiff after being advised by the Plaintiff that the information was inaccurate;
  - f) The failure to continuously note in the credit report that the Plaintiff disputed the accuracy of the information;

- g) The failure to promptly delete information that was found to be inaccurate, or could not be verified, or that the source of information had advised Equifax to delete;
- h) The failure to take adequate steps to verify information Equifax had reason to believe was inaccurate before including it in the credit report of the consumer.
- 55.As a result of the conduct, action and inaction of Equifax, the Plaintiff suffered damage by loss of credit, loss of ability to purchase and benefit from credit, a chilling effect on future applications for credit, and the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation and embarrassment of credit denial.
- 56. The conduct, action and inaction of Equifax was negligent, entitling the Plaintiff to damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.
- 57. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney's fees from Equifax in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and 1681o.
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Elkie Nathan, an individual, demands judgement in her favor against Defendant, Equifax, for damages together with attorney's fees and Court costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

## **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

# (Willful Violation of the FCRA as to Shellpoint)

- 58.Plaintiff incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 59. This is an action for willful violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.
- 60. Pursuant to the Act, all persons who furnished information to reporting agencies must participate in re-investigations conducted by the agencies when consumers dispute the accuracy and completeness of information contained in a consumer credit report.

- 61. Pursuant to the Act, a furnisher of disputed information is notified by the reporting agency when the agency receives a notice of dispute from a consumer such as the Plaintiff. The furnisher must then conduct a timely investigation of the disputed information and review all relevant information provided by the agency.
- 62. The results of the investigation must be reported to the agency and, if the investigation reveals that the original information is incomplete or inaccurate, the information from a furnisher such as the Defendant must be reported to other agencies which were supplied such information.
- 63. The Defendant Shellpoint violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2 by failing to fully and properly investigate the dispute of the Plaintiff; by failing to review all relevant information regarding same by failing to correctly report results of an accurate investigation to the credit reporting agencies.
- 64. Specifically, the Defendant Shellpoint continued to report this account on the Plaintiff's credit report after being notified of her dispute regarding the current payment status.
- 65.As a result of the conduct, action and inaction of the Defendant Shellpoint, the Plaintiff suffered damage for the loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from credit, a chilling effect on future applications for credit, and the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation and embarrassment of credit denials.
- 66. The conduct, action and inaction of Defendant Shellpoint was willful, rendering Defendant Shellpoint liable for actual, statutory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a jury pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.
- 67. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney's fees from Defendant Shellpoint in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Elkie Nathan, an individual demands judgement in her favor against Defendant Shellpoint for damages together with attorney's fees and Court costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n.

#### SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

## (Negligent Violation of the FCRA as to Shellpoint)

- 68.Plaintiff incorporates by reference the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 69. This is an action for negligent violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act U.S.C. § 1681 et seq.
- 70. Pursuant to the Act, all person who furnished information to reporting agencies must participate in re-investigations conducted by the agencies when consumers dispute the accuracy and completeness of information in a consumer credit report.
- 71. Pursuant to the Act, a furnisher of disputed information is notified by the reporting agency when the agency receives a notice of dispute from a consumer such as the Plaintiff. The furnisher must then conduct a timely investigation of the disputed information and review all relevant information provided by the agency.
- 72. The results of the investigation must be reported to the agency and, if the investigation reveals that the original information is incomplete or inaccurate, the information from a furnisher such as the Defendant must be reported to other agencies which were supplied such information.
- 73.Defendant Shellpoint is liable to the Plaintiff for failing to comply with the requirements imposed on furnishers of information pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2.

- 74. After receiving the Dispute Notices from the Bureaus, Defendant Shellpoint negligently failed to conduct its reinvestigation in good faith.
- 75.A reasonable investigation would require a furnisher such as Defendant Shellpoint to consider and evaluate a specific dispute by the consumer, along with all other facts, evidence and materials provided by the agency to the furnisher.
- 76. The conduct, action and inaction of Defendant Shellpoint was negligent, entitling the Plaintiff to recover actual damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.
- 77. As a result of the conduct, action and inaction of the Defendant Shellpoint, the Plaintiff suffered damage for the loss of credit, loss of the ability to purchase and benefit from credit, a chilling effect on future applications for credit, and the mental and emotional pain, anguish, humiliation and embarrassment of credit denials.
- 78. The Plaintiff is entitled to recover reasonable costs and attorney's fees from the Defendant Shellpoint in an amount to be determined by the Court pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and 1681o.
- WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Elkie Nathan, an individual, demands judgement in her favor against Defendant Shellpoint for damages together with attorney's fees and court costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o.

## **DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY**

79. Plaintiff demands and hereby respectfully requests a trial by jury for all claims and issues this Complaint to which Plaintiff is or may be entitled to a jury trial.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment from each Defendant as follows:

- a) For actual damages provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a) be awarded for each negligent violation as alleged herein;
- b) For actual damages provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1640(a)(1);
- c) For Statutory damages provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a);
- d) For Statutory damages provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1640(a)(2);
- e) For Punitive damages provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(2);
- f) For attorney fees and costs provided and pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n(a)(3), 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(2) and 15 U.S.C. § 1640(a)(3);
- g) For any such other and further relief, as well as further costs, expenses and disbursements of this action as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: January 26, 2022 Respectfully Submitted,

STEIN SAKS, PLLC

By: /s/ Mark Rozenberg
Mark Rozenberg, Esq.
mrozenberg@steinsakslegal.com
One University Plaza, Suite 620
Hackensack, NJ 07601
Tel: (201) 282-6500

Fax: (201) 282-6501
Attorneys for Plaintiff